



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,828	08/29/2001	Toshihiro Tsukada	P5976a	1001	
20178 7	01/27/2006		EXAMINER		
EPSON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INC			QIN, YIXING		
	IAL PROPERTY DEPT AKS PARKWAY, SUITE 2	25	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SAN JOSE, CA 95134		2622	·	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/942,828	TSUKADA, TOSHIHIRO	
ĺ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yixing Qin	2622	

	Yixing Qin	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	,. which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate ext	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bris	of will not be entered	hecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <i>10,27 and 36</i> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-26,28-35 and 37-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 41-52.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of filing a ad sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will avit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary				
 and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal. 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
10. L. Ottlot							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claims 41-52 would require additional searching.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has considered the arguments made by the attorney and is objecting to claims 10, 27 and 36 because it was overlooked that the memory being claimed was non-volatile and that the restoration sequence was a conditional one based upon the power on function and that current data was being restored. The rejection of all other claims originally present in the case, however, still stands. The new claims 41-52 will not be considered at this time since they would require a new search since they attempt to separate the claimed invention as an interface device from the cited reference's computer.

SLA

TCG COLLEGE